

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
REGULAR MEETING AT 2:00 P.M. TUESDAY, JULY 27, 2021**

REGISTER IN ADVANCE FOR THIS WEBINAR:

[HTTPS://US02WEB.ZOOM.US/WEBINAR/REGISTER/WN\\_JNHUYCIIRIOGZOXHLX1AAG](https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/wn_jnhuyciiriogzoxhlx1aag)

Registering in advance is a new requirement of Zoom

**1. Call Meeting to Order**

**2. Public comment period**

Public comments are welcome and encouraged; however, no proposed action can be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda.

**3. Minutes of March 30, 2021**

Proposed action: By consensus, approve minutes.

**4. Discussion items**

- 2021-22 Overall Work Program review (carryover, planning grants, amendment)
- Funding update: Regional Planning Assistance, Regional Surface Transportation Program; Planning, Programming and Monitoring; Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2021 (CRSSA), Active Transportation Program, Pedestrian and Bicycle set aside, Highway Infrastructure Program.
- Caltrans Active Transportation Plan (CAT Plan)
- City and County Pebble Beach Bank Stabilization
- In-person Meetings
- Information sharing by TAC members, including project updates: Yurok Tribe, Transit, City, County, Caltrans, Harbor, DNLTC

**5. Adjourn to the regularly scheduled meeting on August 31, 2021 at 2 p.m. by Zoom Webinar unless restrictions related to COVID19 are fully lifted.**

*Anyone requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the meeting should contact the Executive Director Tamera Leighton: Phone (707) 465-3878; email Tamera@DNLTC.org.*

**MINUTES**  
**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
**REGULAR MEETING AT 2:00 P.M. ON March 30, 2021**

**Present:** Rosanna Bower, County  
Joe Rye, RCTA  
Heidi Kunstal, County, Vice-Chair  
Jon Olson, City  
Suresh Ratnam, Caltrans, Chair  
Nacole Sutterfield, City

**Absent:** John Couch, California Highway Patrol  
Charlie Helms, Harbor  
Brandi Natt, Yurok Tribe

**Also Present:** Susan Brown, Rural Approaches  
Tamera Leighton, DNLTTC  
Drew Leighton, City

**1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER**

Chair Olson called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m.

**2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

Public comments are welcome and encouraged; however, no proposed action can be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda. Public Comments are limited to three minutes.

The following person(s) addressed the Committee: None

**3. MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 23, 2021**

Proposed action: By consensus, approve minutes.

Public Comment: None

Suresh Ratnam moved to approve the minutes of February 23, 2021, seconded by Rosanna Bower, and unanimously carried; the Technical Advisory Committee approved the minutes of February 23, 2021.

**4. 2021-22 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM**

Proposed action: Consider Caltrans's comments and recommend DNLTTC adopts resolution 2021 5 approving the 2021-22 Overall Work Program.

Tamera Leighton reported that comments from Caltrans were received and the responses are in the Overall Work Plan (OWP). The comments were generally of a housekeeping nature and the OWP will be presented to the Commission in April for adoption.

Public Comment: None

Heidi Kunstal moved to recommend DNLTTC adopt resolution 2021 5 approving the 2021-22 Overall Work Program, seconded by Rosanna Bower, and unanimously

carried; the Technical Advisory Committee recommend DNLTC adopt resolution 2021 5 approving the 2021-22 Overall Work Program.

## 5. DISCUSSION

- 
- SAFE system annual report – Tamera Leighton presented data related to the SAFE call box system and explained the information is usually just sent to the Commission, but she thought the TAC might like to see the data as well. The data reflects the call usage on the SAFE call boxes. Tamera noted that some are used regularly and others are not used very much or at all. This data will help with decisions for repairs, removal, or replacement.
- Funding update: Regional Planning Assistance, Regional Surface Transportation Program; Planning, Programming, and Monitoring; Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2021 (CRSSA), Active Transportation Program, Pedestrian and Bicycle set aside, Highway Infrastructure Program. – Tamera Leighton gave an update on the different funding sources. Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) has not had an increase in funding for at least 14 years. This fund supports basic operating needs to meet minimum obligations. The state is considering an increase for this fund. The Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) fund is currently budgeted for \$265,000 and the Commission is working through the contract award at this time. Planning, Programming & Monitoring (PPM) funds are budgeted at \$28,000, however, these may change due to the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRSSA). The CRSSA funding to Del Norte should be about \$713,000. This award is a combination of the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) and must be spent according to those funding guidelines. The Commission must decide how to spend these funds quickly, and address economic impacts. These projects could include state highway projects or local road projects. Projects development needs to start immediately to be ready when the funds are released. Selected projects need to be through the planning process. The Active Transportation Plan (ATP) fund is a challenging fund because it funding larger projects, which means fewer projects are being funded. The application process is very competitive and applications must score 90 or higher for consideration. The state is looking at how to more fully fund this program. An application for this fund should be submitted in case more funding becomes available. The Ped/Bike fund is budgeted at \$58,000 and would be good match funding for a larger project. The Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) is being used on the Washington Boulevard Culvert Project. CRSSA funds would be a good fit for this project. Jon Olson inquired about using CRSSA funds for additional work on the Pebble Beach project. Tamera said it would be a good source of funds to finish that project.
- Dropbox file sharing – Tamera Leighton discussed the amount of additional website storage needed to host Caltrans information on the local Transportation Commission site. Caltrans has removed a lot of information from their site and Tamera has had it placed on the Commission’s site so that folks will still be able to

access these documents. The size of these files requires additional storage be purchased. With additional storage, Tamera will be able to host other agencies' project information if they would like to have it placed on the Commission's site.

- Information sharing by TAC members, including project updates: Yurok Tribe, Transit, City, County, Caltrans, Harbor, DNLTC – Joe Rye reported on the sudden passing of Chuck Clarkson, Operation Manager. Chuck was with RCTA for about 3 ½ years and will be greatly missed. Joe also shared Transit has received about \$1 million from CRSSA funds over the past year. The funds will help with additional expenses and lost revenue over the past year. Transit will be restoring some of its services this summer, specifically Saturday service.

**6. ADJOURN TO THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING ON APRIL 27, 2021 AT 2:00 PM BY ZOOM WEBINAR UNLESS RESTRICTIONS RELATED TO COVID-19 ARE LIFTED.**

With no further business to come before the TAC, the Chair adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m., to the next regularly scheduled meeting on April 27, 2021, at 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Tamera Leighton, Executive Director  
Del Norte Local Transportation Commission

**Del Norte Local Transportation Commission  
Bike / Ped Reserve (Fund # 637)**

*Begging Balance* \$55,925

| <i>Project</i> | <i>Project Allocation</i> | <i>Start Date/<br/>Due Date</i> | <i>Invoice<br/>Date</i> | <i>Payments</i> | <i>Project<br/>Balance</i> |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|

---

|   |     |  |  |  |        |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--------|
| There are no outstanding projects at this time. | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
|   |     |  |  |  | \$0    |

Projects completed are gray

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Reserve balance at June 30, 2020         | 55,925.00 |
| Amount added for the 2021-22 fiscal year | 18,313.00 |
| Commitments                              | 0.00      |
| Spent fiscal year ended June 30, 2021    | 0.00      |

---

**Total available for allocation** **74,238.00**

*Updated 6/30/2021*

**Del Norte Local Transportation Commission**  
**Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP/Fund #619)**

| Description                                   | Year Approved | Allocation Amount | Payee                 | Invoice or Rescinded Date | Amount Paid or Rescinded | Reserved Balance |
|---|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Sunset Circle Match                           | 2015          | 160,000           | City of Crescent City | Ongoing                   | 62,147.49                | 97,852.51        |
| Sunset Circle Scope Change                    | 2018          | 42,000            | City of Crescent City | Jun-19                    | 42,000.00                | 0.00             |
| Sunset Circle Scope Change 2                  | 2020          | 60,000            | City of Crescent City | Jul-20                    | 27,493.01                | 0.00             |
| Bluff Stabilization Match*                    | 2017          | 266,000           | County of Del Norte   |                           | 0.00                     | 266,000.00       |
| Bluff Stabilization Match*                    | 2018          | 85,140            | City of Crescent City |                           | 18,798.95                | 66,341.05        |
| Washington Boulevard Culvert                  | 2020          | 321,218           | County of Del Norte   |                           |                          | 290,860.00       |
| * Preliminary and will increase. Match is 3%. |               |                   |                       |                           |                          | 721,053.56       |

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| Fund Balance June 30, 2020 (audited)   | 1,164,508.00      |
| Invoices paid: July 1, 2020 to current | 0.00              |
| 2020-21 Deposit (anticipated)          | 265,332.00        |
| Interest income 2020-21                | 0.00              |
| Less outstanding project commitments   | (721,053.56)      |
| <b>Available for projects</b>          | <b>708,786.44</b> |

Updated to June 30, 2021

## Caltrans Sub-Apportionment Distribution List

| MPO/RPTA/County                        | CTC Distribution Amounts                 |                            |                      | Apportionments  |   | Total Remaining<br>(Urban + Any Area) |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
|  | CTC Distribution<br>(CRRSAA<br>Non-STIP) | CTC Distribution<br>(STIP) | Total Share          | Urban<br>(Z972/Z973)<br>apportionment<br>available per<br>FMIS W10A | Any Area<br>(Z970/Z971)<br>apportionment<br>available |                                       |
| <b>MTC Region</b>                      | <b>\$ 34,593,076</b>                     | <b>\$ 30,884,418</b>       | <b>\$ 65,477,494</b> | <b>\$ 37,413,863</b>  | <b>\$ 28,063,631</b>                                  | <b>\$ 65,477,494</b>                  |
| MTC other than large UZAs              |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Antioch                                |  |                            |                      | \$ 1,689,677  |   | \$ 1,689,677                          |
| Concord                                |  |                            |                      | \$ 3,748,774  |   | \$ 3,748,774                          |
| SF-Oakland                             |  |                            |                      | \$ 19,969,418   |   | \$ 19,969,418                         |
| Santa Rosa                             |  |                            |                      | \$ 1,875,890  |   | \$ 1,875,890                          |
| San Jose                               |  |                            |                      | \$ 10,130,104   |   | \$ 10,130,104                         |
| Alameda Co (STIP)                      |  | \$ 6,307,785               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Contra Costa Co (STIP)                 |  | \$ 4,318,672               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Marin Co (STIP)                        |  | \$ 1,180,951               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Napa Co (STIP)                         |  | \$ 777,652                 |                      |   |   |                                       |
| San Francisco Co (STIP)                |  | \$ 3,203,408               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| San Mateo Co (STIP)                    |  | \$ 3,260,185               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Santa Clara Co (STIP)                  |  | \$ 7,473,199               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Solano Co (STIP)                       |  | \$ 1,957,358               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Sonoma Co (STIP)                       |  | \$ 2,405,208               |                      |   |   |                                       |
| <b>SACOG Region</b>                    | <b>\$ 11,013,462</b>                     | <b>\$ 10,611,450</b>       | <b>\$ 21,624,912</b> | <b>\$ 10,490,017</b>  | <b>\$ 11,134,895</b>                                  | <b>\$ 21,624,912</b>                  |
| Sacramento (Sacramento UZA)            | \$ 6,873,287                             | \$ 5,975,285               | \$ 12,848,572        | \$ 8,272,337  | \$ 4,576,235  | \$ 12,848,572                         |
| Placer (Sacramento UZA)                | \$ 1,632,588                             |                            | \$ 1,632,588         | \$ 1,550,126  | \$ 82,462   | \$ 1,632,588                          |
| Placer TPA (STIP)                      |  | \$ 1,632,184               | \$ 1,632,184         | \$ -  | \$ 1,632,184  | \$ 1,632,184                          |
| El Dorado (Sacramento UZA)             | \$ 729,695                               |                            | \$ 729,695           | \$ 373,814  | \$ 355,881  | \$ 729,695                            |
| El Dorado LTC (STIP)                   |  | \$ 874,084                 | \$ 874,084           | \$ -  | \$ 874,084  | \$ 874,084                            |
| Sutter                                 | \$ 457,152                               | \$ 551,373                 | \$ 1,008,525         | \$ -  | \$ 1,008,525  | \$ 1,008,525                          |
| Yolo (Sacramento UZA)                  | \$ 972,557                               | \$ 1,155,385               | \$ 2,127,942         | \$ 293,740  | \$ 1,834,202  | \$ 2,127,942                          |
| Yuba                                   | \$ 348,183                               | \$ 423,139                 | \$ 771,322           | \$ -  | \$ 771,322  | \$ 771,322                            |
| <b>TRPA (South Lake Tahoe, CA-NV)</b>  | <b>\$ 702,869</b>                        | <b>\$ 272,872</b>          | <b>\$ 975,741</b>    | <b>\$ 882,468</b>   | <b>\$ 93,273</b>                                      | <b>\$ 975,741</b>                     |
| <b>SCAG Region</b>                     |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| <b>Los Angeles Co Total</b>            | <b>\$ 47,589,281</b>                     | <b>\$ 38,188,685</b>       | <b>\$ 85,777,966</b> | <b>\$ 59,299,741</b>  | <b>\$ 26,478,225</b>                                  | <b>\$ 85,777,966</b>                  |
| Los Angeles County                     |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| LA-Long Beach-Anaheim                  |  |                            |                      | \$ 55,476,164   |   |                                       |
| Lancaster-Palmdale                     |  |                            |                      | \$ 2,076,655  |   |                                       |
| Thousand Oaks                          |  |                            |                      | \$ 172,763  |   |                                       |
| Santa Clarita                          |  |                            |                      | \$ 1,574,159  |   |                                       |
| <b>San Bernardino Co Total</b>         | <b>\$ 9,960,528</b>                      | <b>\$ 11,948,858</b>       | <b>\$ 21,909,386</b> | <b>\$ 12,195,767</b>  | <b>\$ 9,713,619</b>                                   | <b>\$ 21,909,386</b>                  |
| San Bernardino County                  |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Riverside-San Bernardino               |  |                            |                      | \$ 6,525,245  |   |                                       |
| Victorville-Hesperia                   |  |                            |                      | \$ 1,998,967  |   |                                       |
| LA-Long Beach-Anaheim                  |  |                            |                      | \$ 3,671,555  |   |                                       |
| <b>Riverside Co Total</b>              | <b>\$ 10,576,603</b>                     | <b>\$ 10,274,559</b>       | <b>\$ 20,851,162</b> | <b>\$ 10,027,378</b>  | <b>\$ 10,823,784</b>                                  | <b>\$ 20,851,162</b>                  |
| Riverside County                       |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Riverside-San Bernardino               |  |                            |                      | \$ 5,236,938  |   |                                       |
| Indio-Cathedral City                   |  |                            |                      | \$ 2,103,196  |   |                                       |
| Murrieta-Temecula-Menifee              |  |                            |                      | \$ 2,687,244  |   |                                       |
| <b>Orange Co Total</b>                 | <b>\$ 14,591,402</b>                     | <b>\$ 11,770,110</b>       | <b>\$ 26,361,512</b> | <b>\$ 18,292,145</b>  | <b>\$ 8,069,367</b>                                   | <b>\$ 26,361,512</b>                  |
| Orange County                          |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Mission Viejo-Lake Forest-San Clemente |  |                            |                      | \$ 3,501,148  |   |                                       |
| LA-Long Beach-Anaheim                  |  |                            |                      | \$ 14,790,997   |   |                                       |
| <b>Ventura Co Total</b>                | <b>\$ 3,972,460</b>                      | <b>\$ 3,965,568</b>        | <b>\$ 7,938,028</b>  | <b>\$ 3,381,819</b>   | <b>\$ 4,556,209</b>                                   | <b>\$ 7,938,028</b>                   |
| Ventura County                         |  |                            |                      |   |   |                                       |
| Oxnard                                 |  |                            |                      | \$ 2,235,140  |   |                                       |
| Thousand Oaks                          |  |                            |                      | \$ 1,134,574  |   |                                       |
| LA-Long Beach-Anaheim                  |  |                            |                      | \$ 12,105   |   |                                       |
| <b>Imperial County</b>                 | <b>\$ 842,182</b>                        | <b>\$ 2,250,149</b>        | <b>\$ 3,092,331</b>  | <b>\$ -</b>   | <b>\$ 3,092,331</b>                                   | <b>\$ 3,092,331</b>                   |

## Caltrans Sub-Apportionment Distribution List

| MPO/RPTA/County                        | CTC Distribution Amounts                 |                            |                       | Apportionments  |   | Total Remaining<br>(Urban + Any Area) |
|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
|  | CTC Distribution<br>(CRRSAA<br>Non-STIP) | CTC Distribution<br>(STIP) | Total Share           | Urban<br>(Z972/Z973)<br>apportionment<br>available per<br>FMIS W10A | Any Area<br>(Z970/Z971)<br>apportionment<br>available |                                       |
| <b>AMBAG Region</b>                    |  |                            |                       |   |   |                                       |
| Monterey                               | \$ 2,002,850                             | \$ 2,247,919               | \$ 4,250,769          | \$ -  | \$ 4,250,769  | \$ 4,250,769                          |
| Santa Cruz                             | \$ 1,266,120                             | \$ 1,291,195               | \$ 2,557,315          | \$ -  | \$ 2,557,315  | \$ 2,557,315                          |
| San Benito                             | \$ 266,700                               | \$ 414,060                 | \$ 680,760            | \$ -  | \$ 680,760  | \$ 680,760                            |
| Alpine                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 187,855                 | \$ 387,855            | \$ -  | \$ 387,855  | \$ 387,855                            |
| Amador                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 426,410                 | \$ 626,410            | \$ -  | \$ 626,410  | \$ 626,410                            |
| Butte                                  | \$ 1,061,606                             | \$ 1,262,252               | \$ 2,323,858          | \$ -  | \$ 2,323,858  | \$ 2,323,858                          |
| Calaveras                              | \$ 219,936                               | \$ 509,766                 | \$ 729,702            | \$ -  | \$ 729,702  | \$ 729,702                            |
| Colusa                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 337,496                 | \$ 537,496            | \$ -  | \$ 537,496  | \$ 537,496                            |
| <b>Del Norte</b>                       | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 315,063                 | \$ 515,063            | \$ -  | \$ 515,063  | \$ 515,063                            |
| Fresno (Fresno UZA)                    | \$ 4,535,493                             | \$ 4,760,313               | \$ 9,295,806          | \$ 3,984,058  | \$ 5,311,748  | \$ 9,295,806                          |
| Glenn                                  | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 353,449                 | \$ 553,449            | \$ -  | \$ 553,449  | \$ 553,449                            |
| Humboldt                               | \$ 649,621                               | \$ 1,271,043               | \$ 1,920,664          | \$ -  | \$ 1,920,664  | \$ 1,920,664                          |
| Inyo                                   | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 1,757,061               | \$ 1,957,061          | \$ -  | \$ 1,957,061  | \$ 1,957,061                          |
| Kern (Bakersfield)                     | \$ 4,088,143                             | \$ 6,413,591               | \$ 10,501,734         | \$ 3,189,021  | \$ 7,312,713  | \$ 10,501,734                         |
| Kings                                  | \$ 738,212                               | \$ 940,919                 | \$ 1,679,131          | \$ -  | \$ 1,679,131  | \$ 1,679,131                          |
| Lake                                   | \$ 312,040                               | \$ 551,776                 | \$ 863,816            | \$ -  | \$ 863,816  | \$ 863,816                            |
| Lassen                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 807,855                 | \$ 1,007,855          | \$ -  | \$ 1,007,855  | \$ 1,007,855                          |
| Madera                                 | \$ 727,996                               | \$ 875,480                 | \$ 1,603,476          | \$ -  | \$ 1,603,476  | \$ 1,603,476                          |
| Mariposa                               | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 330,334                 | \$ 530,334            | \$ -  | \$ 530,334  | \$ 530,334                            |
| Mendocino                              | \$ 423,875                               | \$ 1,198,132               | \$ 1,622,007          | \$ -  | \$ 1,622,007  | \$ 1,622,007                          |
| Merced                                 | \$ 1,234,325                             | \$ 1,561,898               | \$ 2,796,223          | \$ -  | \$ 2,796,223  | \$ 2,796,223                          |
| Modoc                                  | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 431,156                 | \$ 631,156            | \$ -  | \$ 631,156  | \$ 631,156                            |
| Mono                                   | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 1,305,371               | \$ 1,505,371          | \$ -  | \$ 1,505,371  | \$ 1,505,371                          |
| Nevada                                 | \$ 476,584                               | \$ 668,641                 | \$ 1,145,225          | \$ -  | \$ 1,145,225  | \$ 1,145,225                          |
| Plumas                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 481,706                 | \$ 681,706            | \$ -  | \$ 681,706  | \$ 681,706                            |
| <b>San Diego</b>                       | <b>\$ 14,995,158</b>                     | <b>\$ 13,512,728</b>       | <b>\$ 28,507,886</b>  | <b>\$ 18,045,850</b>  | <b>\$ 10,462,036</b>                                  | <b>\$ 28,507,886</b>                  |
| San Diego (San Diego UZA)              |  |                            |                       | \$ 17,994,722   |   |                                       |
| Mission Viejo-Lake Forest-San Clemente |  |                            |                       | \$ 51,128   |   |                                       |
| San Joaquin (Stockton)                 | \$ 3,300,215                             | \$ 3,244,451               | \$ 6,544,666          | \$ 2,255,364  | \$ 4,289,302  | \$ 6,544,666                          |
| San Luis Obispo                        | \$ 1,301,129                             | \$ 2,382,364               | \$ 3,683,493          | \$ -  | \$ 3,683,493  | \$ 3,683,493                          |
| Santa Barbara                          | \$ 2,045,498                             | \$ 2,678,066               | \$ 4,723,564          | \$ -  | \$ 4,723,564  | \$ 4,723,564                          |
| Shasta                                 | \$ 855,186                               | \$ 1,380,046               | \$ 2,235,232          | \$ -  | \$ 2,235,232  | \$ 2,235,232                          |
| Sierra                                 | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 228,838                 | \$ 428,838            | \$ -  | \$ 428,838  | \$ 428,838                            |
| Siskiyou                               | \$ 216,664                               | \$ 948,836                 | \$ 1,165,500          | \$ -  | \$ 1,165,500  | \$ 1,165,500                          |
| Stanislaus (Modesto)                   | \$ 2,482,961                             | \$ 2,398,014               | \$ 4,880,975          | \$ 2,179,831  | \$ 2,701,144  | \$ 4,880,975                          |
| Tehama                                 | \$ 306,240                               | \$ 703,039                 | \$ 1,009,279          | \$ -  | \$ 1,009,279  | \$ 1,009,279                          |
| Trinity                                | \$ 200,000                               | \$ 498,797                 | \$ 698,797            | \$ -  | \$ 698,797  | \$ 698,797                            |
| Tulare (Visalia)                       | \$ 2,149,022                             | \$ 2,971,678               | \$ 5,120,700          | \$ 1,335,594  | \$ 3,785,106  | \$ 5,120,700                          |
| Tuolumne                               | \$ 267,163                               | \$ 550,379                 | \$ 817,542            | \$ -  | \$ 817,542  | \$ 817,542                            |
| <b>Statewide Total (worksheet)</b>     | <b>\$ 182,364,599</b>                    | <b>\$ 182,364,644</b>      | <b>\$ 364,729,243</b> | <b>\$ 182,972,916</b>   | <b>\$ 181,756,327</b>                                 | <b>\$ 364,729,243</b>                 |
| <b>Totals (official documents)</b>     | \$ 182,364,599                           | \$ 182,364,644             | \$ 364,729,243        | \$ 182,972,971  |   |                                       |



# ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2021



## AT A GLANCE



### LOCATION

The Plan covers all state highways in Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, and Mendocino Counties



### PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

468 people identified 1,464 walking or bicycling needs



### SCHEDULE

8/16: Draft Plan posted  
9/3: Comments due  
10/31: Final Plan posted



### MORE INFORMATION

[www.catplan.org/district-1](http://www.catplan.org/district-1)



### QUESTIONS

Alexis Kelso  
[alexis.kelso@dot.ca.gov](mailto:alexis.kelso@dot.ca.gov)  
707-498-0536



Fact Sheet Updated 07/22/21

## WHAT IS THE DISTRICT 1 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN?

The District 1 Active Transportation Plan is part of a comprehensive effort to identify locations with bicycle and pedestrian needs in each Caltrans district across California. This Plan identifies challenges to people's ability to walk, cycle, and reach transit on the state highway system in Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, and Mendocino Counties.

## WHAT'S INSIDE THE PLAN?

The Plan identifies pedestrian and cyclist needs on and across the state highway system and prioritizes highway segments and crossings to inform future investments. The Plan's main output is a list and map of location-based needs and prioritized highway segments.

The Plan also includes information on statewide context, public engagement, walking and cycling in District 1 today, and next steps.

## HOW CAN I REVIEW THE PLAN AND PROVIDE COMMENTS?

The Plan has two elements--a Summary Report and a Story Map. The Summary Report provides an overview of the planning process and some key context and findings. The Story Map provides an opportunity to view and interact with a series of maps that highlight the pedestrian and bicycling issues, needs, and opportunities described in the Summary Report.

Access the  
Summary Report and Story Map at  
[www.catplan.org/district-1](http://www.catplan.org/district-1)  
Links will be posted by August 16, 2021.

Submit comments to Alexis Kelso by  
September 3, 2021  
by email ([alexis.kelso@dot.ca.gov](mailto:alexis.kelso@dot.ca.gov))  
or phone (707-498-0536).



## I KNOW A LOCATION THAT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT!

You can still take our survey to let us know where improvements are needed for walking and bicycling:  
[survey.catplan.org](http://survey.catplan.org)

